

Advisory Commission on Sentencing (FZ0)

The mission of the Advisory Commission on Sentencing is to conduct a comprehensive study on criminal sentencing practices in the District.

Agency Director	Kim Hunt, Ph.D
Proposed Operating Budget (\$ in thousands)	\$747

Fast Facts

- The proposed FY 2001 operating budget is \$747,331, an increase of \$40,331 over the FY 2000 budget. There are six full-time equivalent (FTE) positions supported by this budget.
- In FY 1999, the agency submitted a comprehensive study of criminal justice sentencing practices in the District.

FY 2001 Proposed Budget by Control Center

The basic unit of budgetary and financial control in the District's financial management system is a control center. The Advisory Commission on Sentencing is comprised of one control center that serves as the major component of the agency's budget.

FY 2001 Proposed Budget by Control Center

(Dollars in Thousands)

D.C. Advisory Commission on Sentencing

Control Center	Proposed FY 2001 Budget
0010 ADVISORY COMMISSION ON SENTENCING	747
1000	0
FZ0 D.C. Advisory Commission on Sentencing	747

Agency Overview and Organization

The Advisory Commission on Sentencing was established by the D.C. Council in FY 1999 pursuant to District Law 12-167. Local funding was not appropriated for the agency in FY 1999. The Commission submitted to the Council a comprehensive study of criminal sentencing practices in the District on September 30, 1999. The Commission will be further required to submit a report in calendar year 2000, and annual reports thereafter.

FY 2001 Proposed Operating Budget

The Advisory Commission on Sentencing's Operating Budget is composed of two categories: (1) Personal Services (PS), and (2) Nonpersonal Services (NPS).

Within the PS budget category are several object classes of expenditure such as regular pay, other pay, additional gross pay, and fringe benefits. Within the NPS budget category are several object classes of expenditure such as supplies and materials, utilities, communications, rent, other services and charges, contractual services, subsidies and transfers, equipment and equipment rental, and debt service.

Authorized spending levels present the dollars and related full-time equivalents (FTE) by revenue type. Revenue types include: Local (tax and non-tax revenue not earmarked for a particular purpose); Federal (revenue provided by the federal government to support federally established programs or grants for a particular purpose); Private and Other (charitable contributions and fees from fines, etc); and Intra-District (payments for services provided by one District agency to another District agency).

FY 2001 Proposed Operating Budget

(Dollars in Thousands)

D.C. Advisory Commission on Sentencing

Dire: Advisory Commission on Benchmarking

Object Class	FY 1999 Unaudited	Budget FY 2000	Proposed FY 2001	Variance				
Regular Pay -Cont. Full Time	0	707	440	-267				
Additional Gross Pay	0	0	1	1				
Fringe Benefits	0	0	110	110				
Subtotal for: Personal Services (PS)	0	707	551	-156				
Supplies and Materials	0	0	3	3				
Utilities	0	0	58	58				
Other Services and Charges	0	0	18	18				
Contractual Services - Other	0	0	100	100				
Equipment and Equipment Rental	0	0	17	17				
Subtotal for: Nonpersonal Services (NPS)	0	0	196	196				
Total Expenditures:	0	707	747	40				
Authorized Spending Levels by Revenue Type:								
	FTEs	Dollars	FTEs	Dollars	FTEs	Dollars	FTEs	Dollars
Local	0	0	6	707	6	747	0	40
Total:	0	0	6	707	6	747	0	40

Agency Funding Summary

The proposed FY 2001 operating budget *for all funding sources* is \$747,331, an increase of \$40,331, or 5.7 percent, over the FY 2000 approved budget. The Advisory Commission on Sentencing receives 100 percent funding from local sources. There are six FTEs supported by this control center.

- **Local.** The proposed *local* budget is \$747,331, an increase of \$40,331. The entire net increase is in personal services. There are six full-time equivalents funded by local sources.

The change in personal services is comprised of:

- (\$196,000) decrease to align the budget by reallocating this amount to nonpersonal services because the entire FY 2000 funding was reflected within personal services.
- \$40,331 increase due to adjustments to personal services.

The change in nonpersonal services is comprised of:

- \$196,000 increase to align the budget. This entire amount was reallocated from the personal services budget.

Figure 1

FY 2001 Proposed Budget aligns costs between PS and NPS based on anticipated requirements

Personal Services decreased by 22.0 percent, from \$707,000 to \$551,331, based on adjustments to align the budget.

Nonpersonal services increased by \$196,000, based on adjustments to align the budget.

